High-Level Public Reporting Requirements Comparison					
Top		Massachusetts Jan 2012	New York Jan 2013	Hawaii Jan 2003	California Apr 2014
Overseeing Agency Reporting Entities		Department of Public Utilities	Public Service Commission	Public Utilities Commission	Public Utilities Commission
		NSTAR, National Grid, Western Massachusetts Electric (WMECo), Unitil/Fitchburg Gas and Electric	Niagara Mohawk Power, Central Hudson Gas and Electric, Consolidated Edison, Orange & Rockland Utilities, New York State Electric and Gas (NYSEG)/Rochester Gas & Electric (RG&E)	Hawaiian Electric Company (HECO), Maui Electric Company (MECO), Hawai'i Electric Light Company (HELCO)	Pacific Gas and Electric (PG&E), Southern California Edison (SCE), San Diego Gas and Electric (SDG&E)
We	b Address	Massachusetts Department of Energy Resouces; Interconnection https://sites.google.com/site/massdgic/home/interconnection	New York State Department of Public Service, Matter Number 13-00205 http://documents.dps.ny.gov/public/MatterManagement/CaseMaster.aspx?MatterSeq=41907	Public Utilities Commission Document Management System; Docket Number 02-0051 http://dms.puc.hawaii.gov/dms/	Public Utilities Commission; Interconnection (Rule 21) http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/PUC/ener gy/rule21.htm
	General Data				
		Utility Name Facility ID City/Town Zip Code Circuit Name System (Fuel or Gen) Type System Capacity [kW] Private or Government Site Net Metered [Y/N] System Modification Required [Y/N] Review Process [EXP/STD/CMP] Notes	Utility Name Case Number System (Fuel or Gen) Type System Capacity [kW] Protective Equipment Net Metered [Y/N] Notes	System (Fuel or Gen) Type System Capacity [kW]	[all data below provided for most recent quarter and cumulative from Sept 2012] Pre-application Reports [number requested, issued, in process, withdrawn] Number of Fast Track Applications of all types [queued and non-queued] Number of Fast-Track Applications for Export Facilities
	Cost Data				
		None	CESIR Cost to Customer Utility Interconnection Cost Customer Interconnection Cost Utility System Upgrade Costs Customer System Upgrade Costs	None	None
Data Content	Timeline/ Date Data	[start date, end date, elapsed workdays, customer stoppage, utility stoppage] Application Receipt Screen Review Supplemental Review Standard Process Initial Review Impact Study Detailed Study IA and Pre-Construction [date interconnection agreement sent, estimated in-service date] Construction Witness Test [date scheduled, date completed] Authorization to Interconnect [final date]	Application Review [start date/end date] Preliminary Review [start date/end date] CESIR [start date/end date] Verification Testing [test date] Final Letter of Acceptance [sent date]	[date of action, comment] Request Received Information Sent to Customer Application Received Technical Review Completed Design Finalized Interconnection Facilities Identified Interconnection Agreement Sent Interconnection Agreement Signed	None
	Screen Data				
		None	None	Additional Technical Study Required [Y/N] Additional Protective Equipment Required [Y/N]	Number of Fast-Track Exporting Facilities that: -Passed Initial Review -Currently in Initial Review -Failed Initial Review -Had a Results Meeting -Requested Supplemental Review after Failing Initial -Currently in Supplemental Review -Passed Supplemental Review -Passed and Received Interconnection Agreement -Withdrew before Supplemental Review -Withdrew during/after Supplemental Review -Number that Signed GIAs
	Earliest Data	Jan 2009	July 2012	Jan 2002	Top Three Failed Initial Review Screens and customer options to avoid Top Three Failed Supplemental Review Screens and customer options to avoid Sept 2012
	Available Fraguency of				
Data Quality	Frequency of Publication	Monthly	Quarterly to Semi-Annual	Annual	Quarterly
Da	Level of Aggregation				
		Individual facility	Individual facility	Individual facility	Utility-wide

High-Level Public Reporting Requirements Comparison Electronic **Format** PDF PDF Spreadsheet Spreadsheet/PDF Data Standardization Moderate Moderate High Original reports were text-based Identical document format across Reporting template created by DG Required reporting data fields are working group; submitted data are clearly defined in interconnection forms, later reports switched to utilities reviewed and new tables; tables include different regulations formats for dates recommendations for standardization published Data Accessibility Low Low High Medium Reports are contained within Reports are contained within Webpage is easily accessible; Webpage is easily accessible; data in spreadsheet is cumulative; docket tracking system; data in docket tracking system; data in reports are mixed with wide range quarterly/semi-annual reports are data from all utilities compiled into annual reports are non-cumulative; of other content; each utility's data non-cumulative; each utility's data tables presented as images, not single spreadsheet in separate file

text

is contained in separate file